

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,744	04/20/2001	Olivier Frank	N0652-098(OLIVIER-1)	1292
.28581 7590 07/26/2007 DUANE MORRIS LLP			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 5203			TINKLER, MURIEL S	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
	•		, MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/839,744	FRANK, OLIVIER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Muriel Tinkler	3691			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the second ABANDON cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		4			
<u> </u>	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 July 2007</u> .				
,	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 6,7 and 9-17 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,6,7 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
	•				
	•				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/1/2001.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informat 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

This application has been reviewed. Claims 1-5 and 8 have been cancelled. Claims 6, 7 and 9-17 are pending. The rejection(s) are as follows.

Response to Amendment

- 1. The applicant has amended Claims 6, 7 and 9-12 and added new claims 13-17. These claims have been compared against the specification. The Examiner accepts the amendments and new claims as filed on July 5, 2007.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 8 have been cancelled.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6, 7, and 9-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

Art Unit: 3691

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sehr (US 2001/0018660 A1), hereafter referred to as Sehr.
- 7. Claim 6 discusses a validator of access to a service by a rechargeable voucher, the validator including: means for reading the voucher identification number, means for recharging the voucher by writing into the voucher's recordable data storage means, and means for storing a list of approved voucher vouchers, the validation of which is authorized and/or forbidden identification numbers representing the vouchers that have been approved for automatic recharge, wherein when a voucher holder presents a voucher to the validator, the validator compares the identification number of the presented voucher to said list of approved voucher identification numbers stored in said means for storing and automatically recharges the presented voucher without any intervention or action by the voucher holder when the identification number of the presented voucher is on said list of approved voucher identification numbers.
- 8. Sehr discloses: a validator in the Abstract and paragraphs 16 and 40; a means for reading a voucher/voucher holder in paragraph 32 and figure 1 (element 12); a means for recharging a voucher in paragraph 77; means for storing a list of approved

Art Unit: 3691

vouchers in paragraph 14; comparing identification numbers of voucher to a list in paragraph 124; and, automatically recharging the voucher in the Abstract.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 7 and 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sehr in view of Bigari (US 5,010,485), hereafter referred to as Bigari.
- 11. Claims 7, 12 and 16 discuss a system and method for managing rechargeable vouchers accounts, the system comprising: a central station for managing the status of the rechargeable voucher accounts and maintaining and updating a first list of approved voucher identification numbers representing a list of vouchers for which the voucher holder has paid for a recharge; and a plurality of service access validation stations comprising validators, in communication with the central station, the central station periodically transmitting at least a portion of said first list of voucher identification numbers that have been approved for automatic recharge to at least some selected service access validation stations, wherein upon presentation said validator comprises means for storing said first list and when a voucher holder presents a voucher to a validator, said validator compares the identification number of the presented voucher to

Art Unit: 3691

said first list of voucher identification numbers and automatically recharges the presented voucher without any intervention or action by the voucher holder when the identification number of the presented voucher is on said first list of voucher identification numbers and provides the voucher holder an access to the service.

- 12. Sehr discloses: rechargeable vouchers in the Abstract and paragraph 14; a plurality of service access validation stations in the Abstract (a plurality of entities); periodic updates in paragraph 32; automatic recharge in the Abstract; a validator/voucher holder in the Abstract, paragraphs 14 and 16 and figure 1 (element 12); and, comparing the identification number on the voucher to a list in paragraph 124. While Sehr does disclose managing voucher status in the Abstract and paragraph 14, Sehr does not specifically disclose the use of "managing the status of the rechargeable voucher accounts". Bigari teaches the act of "managing the status of the rechargeable voucher accounts", in column 5 (lines 12-26) and column 8 (line 47) through column 9 (line 6).
- 13. Claim 9 discusses the system of claim 7, wherein the central station's management of the status of the rechargeable voucher accounts includes receiving payments from rechargeable voucher account holders for authorizing future automatic recharge of the voucher account holder's vouchers and upon said payment, providing the voucher account holders an option to provides an indication about which service access validation station he intends to go first. See the rejection(s) of claim 7 above.

Art Unit: 3691

Sehr also discloses: receiving payments to authorize future automatic recharging of vouchers in paragraph 24; value added services in paragraphs 107-108 and 114; and, providing an indication to which service access validation station the user intends to use paragraph 42.

Claims 10, 13-15 and 17 discuss the system and method of claim 7, wherein a 14. number of rechargeable vouchers are designated to be automatically recharged periodically ang during a time window at the end of each defined period, each such voucher presented to a validator automatically recharged by the validator when a voucher holder presents a such voucher to the validator to Rain access to a service at the end of said defined period is automatically recharged by the validator and the validator generating a second list of voucher identification numbers representing the recharged vouchers and periodically transmitting said second list of voucher identification numbers to the central station, the voucher validity being then checked by the central station by between comparing the second list of recharged vouchers a-ng-a to said first list of voucher identification numbers for which the recharge has been paid, the central station then generating a third list of voucher identification numbers representing being then made of the vouchers that have been recharged but not paid for, this last said third list of voucher identification numbers being periodically transmitted to at least some of the validators, to enable the validators to totally or partially inhibit any unpaid vouchers. See the rejection(s) of claim 7 above. Bigari also discloses: automatically recharging vouchers at the end of a time period in the Abstract,

Art Unit: 3691

figure 2, and column 4 (lines 7-29). Sehr also discloses: the use of various lists in paragraphs 34, 43 and 58; and, the automatic recharging of vouchers in the Abstract.

15. Claim 11 discusses the system of claim 7, wherein the system automatically determines according to a statistic criteria certain service access validation stations to which said a particular voucher is likely to be presented. See the rejection(s) of cliam 7 above. Bigari discloses automatically estimating where a voucher will be presented in column 5 (lines 3-40).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Muriel Tinkler whose telephone number is (571)272-7976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM until 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571)272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3691

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MT July 20, 2007

> HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER